

**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS
WESTERN DISTRICT**

**TAEGAN CORA CLARK, A MINOR CHILD, BY AND THROUGH JIMMY CLARK,
NEXT FRIEND AND JIMMY CLARK, INDIVIDUALLY,
APPELLANT
vs.**

**SHANNON R. INGRAM,
RESPONDENT**

DOCKET NUMBER WD74554

DATE: SEPTEMBER 18, 2012

Appeal from:

The Circuit Court of Clay County, Missouri
The Honorable Kathryn E. Davis, Judge

Appellate Judges:

Division Two: Joseph M. Ellis, P.J., Alok Ahuja and Mark D. Pfeiffer, JJ.

Attorneys:

Michael Kinder, for Appellant

Michael J. Svetlic, for Respondent

MISSOURI APPELLATE COURT OPINION SUMMARY

**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS
WESTERN DISTRICT**

**TAECON CORA CLARK, A MINOR CHILD, BY AND THROUGH JIMMY CLARK,
NEXT FRIEND AND JIMMY CLARK, INDIVIDUALLY, APPELLANT**

v.

SHANNON R. INGRAM, RESPONDENT

WD74554

Clay County, Missouri

Before Division Two Judges: Joseph M. Ellis, P.J., Alok Ahuja and Mark D. Pfeiffer, JJ.

Jimmy Clark ("Father") appeals from a judgment entered in the Circuit Court of Clay County in an action to establish the paternity of his daughter, T.C.C. Father challenges the trial court's decisions related to custody, visitation, and child support.

AFFIRMED AS MODIFIED.

Division Two holds:

(1) While Father's second point on appeal is meritorious and requires amendment of the judgment, his remaining points lack merit, and a formal, published discussion related thereto would serve no jurisprudential purpose. According, we affirm the trial court court's judgment as those points by summary order pursuant to Rule 84.16(b).

(2) Where Father was awarded parenting time starting 7:15 a.m. Wednesday with an ending time alternating between 4:45 p.m. Thursday and 4:45 p.m. Friday, half of holidays, and an additional five consecutive days over the summer, the trial court erred in declaring Mother to have sole physical custody in light of the significant parenting time awarded to Father.

(3) Though the trial court mislabeled the physical custody awarded in its judgment, it is unnecessary to remand for correction of the decree where this court can simply recognize and clarify that he is a joint physical custodian.

Opinion by Joseph M. Ellis, Judge

Date: September 18, 2012

<p>This summary is <i>UNOFFICIAL</i> and should not be quoted or cited.</p>
--

